

GENDER DIFFERENTIALS IN MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT  
OF PRIMARY SCHOOL PUPILS IN BUSAANA  
SUB COUNTY, KAYUNGA DISTRICT  
UGANDA

BY

MUSAANA AUGUSTINE

DPE/30943/102/DU


A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION  
DISTANCE AND E - LEARNING IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF A DIPLOMA IN  
PRIMARY EDUCATION OF KAMPALA  
INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

AUGUST, 2012

## DECLARATION

I, *Musaana Augustine, DPE/30943/102/DU* do hereby declare that the study titled, "Gender differential in mathematics achievement in primary school pupils" is entirely my own work, except where acknowledged this title has not been submitted before to any other university or institution of higher learning for the award of a degree.

Signed..........

Date..........


*Musaana Augustine*

**Researcher**

## APPROVAL

This thesis has been submitted for examination with my approval as the Candidate's University Supervisor.

Signed.....

Date:.....

**Kirya Robert Kent**  
**Supervisor**

## **DEDICATION**

This research is dedicated to my beloved Father; Musaana Augustino, My Brother; Balikoowa Benard for their love and tireless sacrificial efforts, moral, social encouragements and the endurances she has gone through that formed a strong academic foundation for me up to this level.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First, I glorify the Almighty God for the provisions and wisdom he gave me to accomplish this programme. I would like to acknowledge the proprietor of Kampala International University (KIU) Hajji Hassan Bassajabalaba and his administration for coming up with the initiative to give people a go ahead for further studies. With them I feel great to be a future educator.

Special gratitude also goes to my family members for their financial and moral support, then the teachers and zonal inspector of schools within Busaana Sub County; Mr. Simali . R and my colleagues in DPE not forgotten and friends not mentioned; who stood with me in times of fate without any complain. Thanks a lot for being there for me.

May the Almighty God be above you to bless, below to support you, before to guide you, behind to protect you and inside to sustain you. Now and forever, Amen!

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page-----	i
Declaration -----	ii
Dedication -----	iii
Acknowledgements -----	v
Table of Contents-----	vi
List of Tables -----	ix
List of Acronyms -----	x
Abstract -----	xi

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Backgrounds to the Study-----	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem-----	2
1.3	Purpose of the Study-----	3
1.4.0	Research Objectives-----	3
1.4.1	Research Questions-----	3
1.5	Scope of the Study-----	3
1.5	Significance of the Study-----	4

### CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Parents Explanations of their Child's Performance in Mathematics-----	5
2.2	The Reasons for Gender Differential in Mathematics Performance-----	6
2.2.1	Safety and security of Girls-----	6
2.2.2	Home and Community Based Factors-----	7
2.2.3	Distance to and from School-----	10
2.2.4	Poor Facilities and Physical Inputs-----	12
2.2.5	Time use by Girls in Mathematics -----	13

### **CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Research Design-----	14
3.2	Sampling Procedure-----	14
3.3	Sample Population -----	15
3.4	Research Instruments-----	15
3.6	Research Procedure-----	15
3.7	Data Analysis -----	16
3.8	Ethical Considerations-----	17
3.9	Limitations to the Study -----	17

### **CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF RESEARCH FINDINGS**

4.1	Is there Gender Differential in Performance in SMT Subjects? -----	18
4.2	There causes of gender differentials in SMT -----	19
4.3	Research Findings on Parents Explanations of the their Child's Performance in Mathematics.-----	20
4.3.1	Parents Assessment of their Child Performance in Mathematics-----	22
4.4	Research Findings on the Relationship between Mathematics, Science and Technology-----	23

### **CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

5.1	Discussion-----	26
5.2	Conclusion-----	31
5.3	Recommendations-----	31
	REFERENCES-----	33

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A (I): Questionnaire for Parents-----	35
APPENDIX A (II): Questionnaire for Students-----	37
APPENDIX A (II): Questionnaire for Teachers-----	42



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.1	:	Responses of respondents on awareness of gender differential in SMT.-----	18
Table 4.2.1	:	The reasons for gender differentials in mathematics performance in Secondary schools-----	19
Table 4.3.1	:	Parents explanations of their child's performance in mathematics. -----	22
Table 4.4.1	:	The relationship between Mathematics, Science and Technology. -----	24

## LIST OF ACCRONYMS

- EFA : Education for All.
- UNESCO : United Nations Education Scientific Organization.
- SMT : Science, Mathematics and Technology.
- FEMSA : Female Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology in Africa.
- USA : United States of America.
- FGD : Focus Group Discussions.

## ABSTRACT

Over the past two decades, women limited participation in science, technology and mathematics (SMT) courses in tertiary institutions have been a cause for concern in Uganda. Women today, constitute over half of the world's population. This report therefore, discusses the present situation of female participation in SMT in Busaana Sub County, some of the factors that tend to hinder females' participation in SMT, vis-à-vis the effects of this limited participation on national development. Data for the study were obtained from a survey conducted in January- June, 2012 in the local government division area of Kayunga district. Very rich literatures were got from text books (both edited and not), Journals, Booklets, the website and great speeches relating to the topic problem. Questionnaires, guided interviews and focus group discussions were among the methods used in soliciting for the data from the respondents. A total of 100 students, 40 parents and 50 teachers were successfully interviewed. This paper confirms the earlier assertion that female are underrepresented in SMT. It also reveals that: more than 70% of the parents, 66% of teachers and 76% of students accepted that there was gender disparity in SMT; Socialization processes inculcated gender biases through the different roles and responsibilities assigned to girls and boys. A package of communication strategies are therefore recommended to encourage teachers and researchers to recognize prejudicial practices which may still disadvantage girls particularly within classrooms, even where policy and statistics suggest that equality has been achieved. Among the recommendations made were that, career guidance teachers should play a major role in showing girls how to choose subjects combination in high school which suits their aptitudes and, family members should encourage girls to take up scientific programs.

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Back ground to the study

Education is a fundamental human right. It is the key to sustainable development and peace and stability within and among countries, and thus an indispensable means for effective participation in the societies and economies of the twenty-first century, which are affected by rapid globalization. Achieving Education For All (EFA) goals should be postponed no longer. The basic learning needs of all can and must be met as a matter of urgency (Edwards, 2000). EFA goal no. 5 calls for an equal number of girls and boys to be enrolled in primary and secondary schools by 2005 – this is what gender parity means (even though not all girls and boys may be enrolled at this stage). It further aims to achieve gender equality in Education by 2015. This is a more ambitious goal, meaning that all girls and boys have equal opportunity to enjoy basic education of high quality, achieve at equal levels and enjoy equal benefits from education.

According to the Socio-economic conditions Survey 2000 report, only 41% of the eligible schools going population aged 6 – 24 years are in school. 3% are temporary out of school, 28% have left school another 28% have never attended school. Today over 330,000 children go to school who mostly attend FPE with very few going to private schools. Education in the district is characterized by high costs to parents as evidenced by low retention of children in schools. The schools in the district continue to have inadequate infrastructure such as books, desks, classrooms, sanitation facilities, teacher houses and so on.

In the same vein, the work of Armstrong (1981) showed that no sex differences existed in mathematics achievement throughout the junior school but that as the end of high school males have higher achievement scores and perform better on higher level cognitive tasks. Rose (2002) investigated the relationship between gender and mathematical achievement with Norwegian 3<sup>rd</sup> graders using an achievement test covering numeracy problems, fraction problems, geometry problems and word problems. Boys were found to have higher total test scores than girls, but the difference was small. In a study by Alao and

Adeleke (2000), investigating the prevalence of mathophobia, girls was found to exhibit more mathophobias than boys and consequently were likely to record lower performance than boys in mathematical activities.

In spite of the various actions and inputs by government as well as intervention by Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), religious organizations and international organizations, girls still lag behind boys at all levels of education. They continue to avoid courses, which lead to careers in science and technology. Deeper forces in society that extend well beyond the boundaries of educational systems, institutions and processes cause gender inequality in science, mathematics and technology (SMT).

Since there are no policies against gender balance in science and technology activities and access to educational institutions enjoy reverse discrimination in favour of females, the constraints against parity in participation or performance in science and technology are mainly socio-cultural, economic and of teaching/learning process factors.

Research is therefore, essential to up-date knowledge and information on this subject matter. This paper, therefore, open up new areas on how to overcome socio-cultural and economic barriers associated with gender differentials in science, mathematics and technology and encourages more girls to study science and mathematics and ultimately play an important role in technological development of Bondo district in particular and Kenya in general.

## **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

Most of our current education system is based upon competition among students for grades, scholarship and admission to schools. On the other hand, the society is tending towards assuming unified challenges for both male and female. There is therefore the need to give equal opportunities to both male and female to enable them develop the necessary required skills and capabilities to face the challenges. The need to identify the status of differences in boys and girls in mathematical problems and open up new areas on how to overcome socio-cultural and economic barriers associated with gender differentials in mathematics so as to make for closing the gap, if any, is imperative.

### **1.3 Purpose of the Study**

The study sought to determine the reasons for gender differential in mathematics in primary schools.

### **1.4 Research Objectives**

The following specific objectives were generated for the study:

- (i) To find out the causes for gender differential in mathematics performance.
- (ii) To find out the parent's explanation of their child's performance in mathematics.
- (iii) To analyze the relationship between mathematics and science and technology.

### **1.5 Research Questions**

The following questions were carefully generated for the study:

- (i) What are the significant causes for the gender differential in mathematics performance?
- (ii) In what significant ways do the parents account their child's success and failure in mathematics?
- (iii) What is the significant relationship in performance between mathematics and science and technology?

### **1.6 Scope of the Study**

This study was conducted in Busaana Sub County, Ntenjeru County in Kayunga district. The study was to confirm the assertion that there is gender differential in mathematics performance. The variables considered under the research shall be the socio-economic factors that cause the disparity in mathematics performance.

## **1.7 Significance of the Study**

In SMT subjects, mathematics is the heart in Primary school curriculum. It is the language of understanding other science subjects and in the evaluation of the student's performance. There is, therefore, a paramount need to close the gender differential that exists in mathematics performance.

The findings of the researcher will assist the following:

The stake holders like the parents and teachers association is expected to benefit by providing their child's basic mathematics requirements to enhance the closure of the gender gap.

Mathematics teachers and pupils would learn and get further experience from their past performances that could have created gender differentials in mathematics performance.

The researcher too is expected to benefit from the research, for it, will promote his career in research and enable him come out with adoptable recommendations that can partly or fully solve the title problem of the research in question.

## CHAPTER TWO

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **2.1 Parents Explanation of their Child's Performance in Mathematics**

Available literatures have not been able to identify a single direction of difference in performance between male and female students subject to inequalities in their physiological structures (Kadiri, 2004).

A child's gender is an attribute that has been repeatedly demonstrated to direct parental perception of children. According to our culturally predominantly representation of intelligence, boys are expected to surpass girls in the cognitive domain in mathematics particularly, whereas girls are expected to surpass boys in the verbal domain.

There is ample research evidence to show the existence of gender differentiation in parents of their child's competencies. Accordingly, boys are seen as superior to girls in mathematics and physical sciences, whereas girls are seen to be superior to boys in language skills and reading (Andre, Whigham, Hendrickson & Chambers, 1999).

Further more, these differentiations seem to be independent of any differences that might exist in the children proficiencies as measured by tests and grades; parental influence also seem to influence children self perceptions and tasks involvements (Eccles-Parson et al.,1982). Although boys and girls do equally well at school, parents are still inclined to perceive gender-related differences. This suggests that parents may interpret equally good performances by boys and girls in different fashions. It is, then, useful to look at the parental explanations for their child's school achievement. This is consistent with Weiner's research (1986).

Drawing on attribution theory, Yee and Eccles (1988) postulated a hypothesis that parents elaborate different views of boys' and girls' mathematical ability because they may make different casual attributions for their sons' and daughters' mathematical performance. In a test of this hypothesis, they found that parents of boys rated 'natural talent' as a more important reason for their child mathematical success than did parents of girls. In contrast, parents of girls rated effort as a more important reason for their child



s' mathematical success than did the boys parents. Yee and Eccles did not detect a gender effect on parental attributions of mathematical failures, as parents usually saw a lack of effort as the reason for mathematics failures of both boys and girls. Consequently, to the extent that parents' attributions impact differently on girls and boys, it is their attributions for success that are the likely mediators, not their attributions for failure.

Yee and Eccles (1988) only focused on parental attributions of mathematical performance. An interesting research question for a subsequent studies would be, in what ways do parents account their child's success and failure in verbal tasks , when, according to our culturally predominant representations of intelligence, girls are expected to do better than boys? In this study the researcher will request parents to recall events from their child's first school year in which the child succeeded and failed in mathematics. The researcher will then ask them to explain these events by rating the importance of three potential causes: the child's mathematical or verbal talent, effort, and task difficulty. The researcher expects talent to be emphasized in explanations of boy's math success and effort in the explanation of girl's mathematics success.

## **2.2 The Reasons for Gender Differential in Mathematics Performance**

There are some external reasons why the female students should not do as well as the males:

### **2.2.1 Safety and Security of Girls**

Recent research underscores the salience of safety factors in keeping girls out of the school. Parents may want their daughters in school but worry about their safety away from home, traveling to and fro school (Kim and Bailey 2003).

Sexual harassment is downplayed in most communities. However, sexual harassment of girls by males in the community including family members, teachers and boys can have a drastic effect on the girls' education and result in her dropping out of school. Very often complain of sexual harassment of girls is ignored and many girls do not report incidences

which occur. Some girls withdraw and become reclusive when they are disturbed by sexual harassment. Once girls start withdrawing from people, their performance in school goes down. When the person sexually harassing the girl is along the way to school or in school, she begins to skip school and ultimately drops-out of school.

Research has shown that most schools in Africa do not always guarantee the security of the students especially girls (Chimombo and Chonzi, 2000; Maluwa-Banda and Lunguzi, 2002). Unlike in urban areas, most of the rural schools do not have a protective fence around the perimeters. There are reports that in some schools girls were subjected to different types of abuse and harassment from male students followed by male teachers. Although there is insufficient data or documented evidence at present, there are anecdotal data that there are instances of sexual harassment in form of male teachers propositions, impregnating and marrying school girls, and flirtation between school boys and school girls (Maluwa-Banda and Lunguzi, 2002).

### **2.2.2 Home and Community Based Factors**

Community participation has received increased attention in international and national policy in recent years. It is considered important as an end in itself (as a democratic right), as well as a means to the achievement of sustainable development and poverty alleviation (Stiglitz, 1997). The interest in community participation has occurred simultaneously with an intensified focus on achieving gender parity in education, and community participation may be seen as one of the means to achieve this goal. One of the potential outcomes of community participation as an end in itself is the transformation of gender relations, allowing the opportunity for women to participate alongside men in decision-making, for example. As a means to an end, community participation in education is seen as a way to increase resources, improve accountability of schools to the community they serve, ensure a more cost-effective use of resources and, importantly, be responsive to local needs. As a result, it intends to improve equitable access, retention, quality and performance of schooling.

Community schools involve the community in construction and management of schools, although the extent to which the community is involved can vary considerably. The establishment and support of schools by communities has always been evident in many SSA countries, often as a response to the failure of government provision. In Kenya, for example, the secondary system evolved largely as a result of community support through Harambee schools. These are seen as one of the closest examples in SSA to 'spontaneous grassroots initiative for the delivery of education' (Rugh and Bossert 1998: 36). However, over time, the lower quality of these schools compared with government schools became increasingly apparent, given the limited time and resources communities were able to provide. Although there was almost a gender balance in secondary enrolment overall (girls' enrolment was 46% of the total), boys benefited more from the better-resourced state schools while girls were over-represented in the poorer quality community schools (Rugh and Bossert 1998). Harambee schools became merged into the government system in the mid 1980s, when all non-private schools began to receive the same per student government subsidy, although their structures and facilities remained of poorer quality. Locally-supported community schools at both the primary and secondary level have also been in existence elsewhere. For example, urban Zambia as overflow 'state' schools, differing from government schools only because they are completely funded by local contributions and fees (Hyde 2003).

**Community participation in government schools:** As mentioned, construction of government schools has always been supported by community contributions in many SSA countries. In Malawi, for example, from the commencement of formal education, self-help was recognized as important by both the Missions and the colonial administration, predominantly with the aim of supplementing the insufficient resources available for education, as well as of making people more involved in their children's education. At this time, a deliberate policy of government resources favouring urban areas, while rural areas were expected to develop education facilities through self-help projects, on the assumption that self-help was more difficult in urban areas. Prioritization of public resources towards urban areas continued post-independence, despite recognition that self-help projects in rural areas often failed due to limitations on the time and

resources of poor members of these communities. This has contributed to the uneven development of schooling opportunities which have continuously favoured urban areas (Rose 2002).

**Traditional belief of a woman as a wife and mother:** This traditional belief still prevails in society. Hence the attitude that it is more beneficial to formally educate a boy than a girl and that girl only need to be educated and trained in house chores to prepare them for marriage still persists.

**Family size:** Large families at times face problems in educating their children. When faced with economic hardship, a great number of parents, even those aware of the importance of girls' education, are forced to educate boys at the expense of girls. It is still argued that the man is the "bread winner" and hence boys need more education than girls who will get married and will have a man take care of them. Some parents send their girls to school later in the school term when they have acquired some money but because the girls have missed out so much by then, they do poorly and eventually drop-out of school.

**Masculine fallacy of mathematics:** Society generally believes that SMT subjects are difficult and a boys' domain. Since SMT subjects are compulsory in primary school, girls have no alternative but to participate in class. However, concentration is poor and participation and performance low. This affects the grades in SMT subjects and determines the ability to continue and perform well in SMT subjects in secondary school.

**Parental education:** Most parents are aware of the benefits of sending their daughters to school. However, when situations arise which prevent them from educating all their children, girls are usually the ones who are not enrolled.

**Household chores:** There is a greater need for girls' rather than boys' labour at home. Many parents keep their daughters at home whenever there are some chores (cooking, selling, farming, taking care of other siblings or sick members of the family, laundry, etc.) to do.

**Early Marriage:** In some communities, religious and traditional norms dictate that girls are to be married at a certain age and when they are still in school with no prospects of marriage when they mature, it puts the family in disgrace. The girls are therefore pulled out of school as soon as they reach maturity to prepare them for marriage. Some men do not like very educated wives who may challenge their authority. When such men, especially the rich, want to marry a girl, the parents prefer to pull her out of school since marriage would also solve some of the family's financial problems.

**Cultural practices:** Cultural practices in some societies require the girl staying out of school temporarily or permanently and interfere with her education. Some of these traditions require drastic measures on the girl e.g. mutilation of sexual organs, and on occasion, the decision to discontinue school after such a traumatic experience are made by the girls.

### **2.2.3 Distance to and from School**

Research has shown that there is a differential impact on the likelihood that boys and girls enter school at the correct age and stay there. Long distances discourage girls from enrolling in school, and parents are even reluctant to send young girls to distant schools to prevent them from being molested (Maluwa-Banda and Lunguzi, 2002). In Kenya, there is no official policy is to have schools within a walking distance of some few kilometers. On average children tend to travel shorter distance to schools in urban than in rural areas. Though there is no definitive data, estimates stand at average of 4-7 kilometers between home and nearest school in rural areas.

The number of schools in most districts in Kenya has not kept pace with population growth. Pupils and students sometimes have to travel long distances before they get to school. In primary schools and in secondary schools when girls are day students, traveling long distances before arriving in school decreases their productivity since they arrive in school already tired. Participation and performance in any subject, mathematics included is then hampered. where boarding schools have opened up admission for day students, Traveling long distances is still an issue, however, and girls arrive in school

late, missing the first lessons of the day (usually mathematics or science), or get back home too tired for any meaningful studies. When they live long distances from school, girls are not able to participate in private tuition classes held after school hours or discuss homework assignments as they are expected to leave the school compound by a certain time or they need to hurry back home before darkness falls. In some cases where girls live a long distance from school, they are forced to take up lodgings in the town where the school is located which gets them exposed to many unscrupulous and harassing situations. Some families allow their daughters to lodge with relatives who may not necessarily be the right people to select as guardians. When schools are at some distances from home, parents tend to worry about the safety of their daughters and often are unwilling to let them go to school. All these hardships frustrate the girls who may then drop-out of school.

Long distances from school promote lateness and truancy among students. In some schools, especially in the primary sector, lateness to school guarantees punishment which is usually by caning. Girls would rather skip school for the entire day than risk this form of punishment which is painful and embarrassing. Lateness also results in missing the early morning lesson which in many primary schools is mathematics. Mathematics is a hierarchical subject and when lessons are missed, it is difficult to join in at a later stage. Unfortunately, most schools are unwilling to change the time table to remedy the situation.

Besides, reaching isolated groups in most societies tends to be costly, and as a lower public priority, the supply of schools and teachers tends to lag, which reinforces low demand. The direct costs of secondary school—in the form of school fees, family contributions, and unofficial fees—can represent a high share of poor families' disposable income (Bray 1996). These expenses can prevent families from enrolling their children in school. For the excluded, who typically have low incomes and limited demand, such charges can prove insurmountable. Other costs of education (school uniforms, textbooks, transportation) can also represent significant barriers. These costs may be particularly high for girls because of their lost household labor and the costs associated with safety en route to and at school (Birdsall, Levine, and Ibrahim 2005).

Families may have a preference for educating boys over girls, given better labor market opportunities for boys and the fact that girls in many societies are “married away,” joining the husband’s family and no longer providing for or living with their own families. The general preference for boys found among most excluded groups in developing countries adds to the disadvantage experienced by girls.

Most excluded groups are poor, in part because of lower economic returns to education. Excluded groups’ educational attainment remains well below that of the majority population. Exclusion and gender discrimination lead to lower returns to almost all investments in comparison with similar investments aimed at the majority population, for several reasons. First, excluded groups tend to suffer multiple forms of discrimination. This lowers their economic and social status, which in turn shapes their attitudes toward education and reduces their motivation to learn. Second, expectations of limited economic returns to education among excluded groups reduce demand for education, particularly for girls, because women face greater labor market discrimination than do men. Third, the quality of public programs, including education, directed at marginalized groups tends to be inferior to those aimed at majority populations.

#### **2.2.4 Poor Facilities and Physical Inputs**

In many American schools, students and teachers find themselves in a physical environment that adversely affects their morale, and, in some cases, their health. “Research shows that a facility’s physical and environmental quality directly affects the health of building occupants and academic performance” (Weiss, 2000). Poor school conditions make it more difficult for teachers to deliver an adequate education to their students, adversely affect teacher health, and increase the likelihood that teachers will leave their school and the teaching profession.

It has been firmly established that people are influenced and affected by their environment. The impact of crumbling school buildings, sub-adequate maintenance, and overcrowded conditions has been well documented as it relates to student learning (Edwards, 1991). For example, the hypothesis that there is a correlation between student

achievement and building conditions was tested in the Washington, D.C., school system. After controlling for other variables, such as a student's socioeconomic status, Edwards (1991) found that as a school's condition improved from one category to the next, for example, from poor to fair-students' standardized achievement scores rose an average of 5.45 percentage points. If a school improved its condition from poor to excellent, an increase of 10.9 percentage points in average achievement scores could be expected.

Good facilities are an important precondition for student learning and a growing body of research has linked student achievement and behavior to the conditions of the physical building. The evidence of impact on teachers is harder to find, but important nonetheless. "Recent studies offer compelling evidence that teacher quality is one of the most critical components of how well students achieve. For instance, studies in both Tennessee and Texas found that students who had effective teachers greatly outperformed those who had ineffective teachers. In the Tennessee study, students with highly effective teachers for three years in a row scored 50 percentage points higher on a test of math skills than those whose teachers were ineffective" (US Government, 2002).

The quality of the physical environment affects the performance of teachers as well as that of students. The difficult and demanding job of a teacher is made more pleasant if she is allowed to work in aesthetically pleasing surroundings. Teachers need a designated place to relax and plan during the school week (Thomson & Ashton-Lilo, 1983). Environmental factors such as temperature, light, color, sound absorption, ventilation and spatial arrangements can either facilitate or hinder teachers in carrying out their jobs. Lack of space affects the way teachers plan and organize instruction. Overcrowded conditions require teachers to adjust teaching style and techniques, which ultimately impact student learning.

### **2.2.5 Time use by Girls**

FEMSA report (1996) identified that; time is inefficiently used by many girls at school and at home. At home time needed for homework and studies is used for household chores, playing, chatting and visiting friends. In school, while boys may spend the hours



outside the class time discussing academic problems, girls may be found in clusters gossiping.

Teachers ask girls to baby-sit and run errands for them during and outside school hours. Girls sometimes volunteer for these jobs to gain favours from the teacher or to enable them get out of participation in some lessons or school activities.

Girls also use their school time inefficiently by not participating fully in class discussions. Unfortunately, this attitude of girls is partially based on African traditional practices where girls and women are not supposed to enter into discussions with men but are only to listen. Since some teachers do not make the effort to pull students into discussions when they do not participate, the girls then lose out on so much and are also not able to share with the rest of the class ideas they may have.

## **CHAPTER THREE**

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

#### **3.1 Research Design**

Both qualitative and quantitative research approaches were used. The quantitative approach used was where traits and situations were expressed in numerical values for further analysis. This was done by categorizing the reasons for the gender differentials in mathematics performance from both pupils and teachers/parents.

#### **3.2 Sampling Procedure**

The researcher's intention to have the pupils, the teachers and the parents as the respondents to the research was a success. Random sampling of the respondents was used to select the required sample of the population while the parents specifically were selected using Purposive sampling technique.

#### **3.3 Sample Population**

This is a smaller representation of the wider targeted population. The researcher conducted the research work in primary schools targeting 20 respondents (students) from each school making a total of 100. The perceptions of the students, teachers and parents of the difficulties and constraints faced by girls in the learning of mathematics and science and the reasons for these were of paramount importance during this process. Ten (10) teachers from each school responded through the questionnaire provided to clearly show how SMT subjects are presented in class, with special reference to how girls are treated in SMT classes. The parents (8 from each school area) were separately interviewed on their explanation of their child's performance in mathematics.

#### **3.4 Research instruments**

Questionnaires were administered to teachers and students from upper classes in primary schools; and teachers and students from both examination and non-examination classes in

primary schools which included both open ended and closed ended questions. The open ended questions was used to extract hidden data from the respondents since they express their feelings outside what the questionnaire required. The open ended questionnaires were deliberate, although the researcher realized there would be very many responses to some questions, which would deliver a nightmare scenario in terms of analysis of the data. While the questionnaires for the school students mainly sought information on their perceptions regarding the importance of Mathematics and Science, the ability of girls and boys to learn these subjects. Information was also elicited regarding the level of help that pupils' had access to in the learning of Mathematics and Science in terms of whether they had access to private tuition, who helped them with their homework and from whom they sought assistance if they had difficulty in understanding what was being taught in Mathematics and Science.

### **3.6 Research Procedures**

The researcher obtained an introduction letter from the awarding institution which introduced him to the institutions from where the research was conducted. The relevant authority and officers from the municipal divisions were fully briefed by the researcher and formal permission and clearance was received for the activities to be conducted in the schools. The close contact with the division was essential, not only for reasons of protocol, but for the future utilization of the findings of the studies in attempting to improve the participation and performance of girls in mathematics and science subjects through meaningful interventions in the schools.

As schools were identified and selected to become part of the study, the activities to be carried were explained to the Head teacher and the school's consent to conduct these activities was sought. Care was taken to have the researcher explain to both students and teachers the reason for the researcher's presence in the school, so as to allay fears that they might be mistaken for School Inspector.

### **3.7 Data analysis**

The quantitative data from the field were analyzed using discrete statistics like tallying, coding, central tendencies to establish the relationship between the variables mathematics and science, frequency tables were also developed for further analysis of the raw data. The results were tabulated for easy interpretation such that one could easily visualize the various results given by the respondents during the study. While for qualitative data, thematic analysis was used to analyze the data collected to strengthen the quantitative data analyzed.

### **3.8 Ethical Considerations**

Bearing in mind the ethical issues, the researcher provided the respondents the main purpose of the research, expected duration and procedures to be followed, and be in position to keep utmost confidentiality of respondents.

### **3.9 Limitations of the Study**

The study had some limitations which were to warn the researcher but did not affect the out come of the findings although much time was consumed. For example, the questionnaire was quite long and took more than forty five minutes to administer. Many teachers had very low morale and were lacking in enthusiasm for anything they regard as extra work without remuneration. The questionnaire was long and was regarded as extra work. Consequently teachers were reluctant to fill it out. The open-ended nature of many questions led to unfocussed responses and added to the time taken to complete the questionnaires.

## CHAPTER FOUR

### PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF RESEARCH FINDINGS

#### 4.1 Is there Gender Differential in Performance in SMT Subjects?

Respondents gave their subjective opinions about the level of gender disparity in Science, Mathematics and Technology (SMT) at all the schools administered with the questionnaires. The majority held the general belief that more girls than boys were disadvantaged. As shown in Table 4.1.1 below, 70% of the parents, 66% of the teachers and 76% of pupils accepted that there was gender differential in SMT education in favour of boys in their communities. Among the household heads who accepted that there was some disparity, the majority were from low performing schools of the municipality. The respective score of 30%, 34% and 24% of the respondents corresponding to 'no' response to the existence of gender differentials in performance believe that girls are not underrepresented in these courses. This showed lack of awareness of the significance of the problem (Table 4.1.1).

**Table 4.1.1. Responses of Respondents on Awareness of Gender Differential in SMT**

Gender Differential	Response	Frequency	Percentage
Parents	Yes	28	70%
	No	12	30%
Teachers	Yes	33	66%
	No	17	34%
Students	yes	76	76%
	No	24	24%
Total		190	100%

Source: Primary data (2012)

## 4.2 The Causes of Gender Differentials in SMT

The causes of gender differential in education are too widely known from the existing literature. In Township location, economic, socio-cultural and religious factors have been highlighted. Poor infrastructure, the poor quality of education, and geographical factors are also considered significant. However, most studies have not examined whether these factors can also lead to disparity in the choice of subjects among boys and girls and the particular set of factors relevant to specific groups, which need to be manipulated through policy as well as the extent to which there are changes in these set of factors. These causes of gender disparity resounded in this study but only differed slightly between the different groups of respondents (Table 4.2.1)

As far as the pupils were concerned, the causes of gender disparity in SMT were related to women's traditional roles and their personal attitudes, which may also stem from their religious orientation. This is apart from financial problem, which was emphasized in the three schools of Township location.

**Table: 4.2.1: The Reasons for Gender Differentials in Mathematics Performance in Primary Schools.**

Reasons	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Distance to and from School	3	1	8	43	45	100
	3%	1%	8%	43%	45%	100%
Time use by girls in math is less	2	5	3	57	33	100
	2%	5%	3%	57%	33%	100%
Masculine fallacy of Mathematics	0	14	0	48	38	100
	0%	14%	0%	48%	38%	100%
Natural talents	3	6	4	53	34	100
	3%	6%	45%	53%	34%	100%
Safety and security of girls	0	2	0	64	34	100
	0%	2%	0%	64%	34%	100%
Household chores for girls	5	8	10	41	36	100
	5%	2%	10%	41%	36%	100%
Home and Community based factors	6	4	17	38	435	100

	6%	45%	17%	38%	49%	100%
Could not identify any reason	6	9	55	21	9	100
	6%	9%	55%	21%	9%	100%
Total	25	49	97	365	264	800
	3.1%	6.1%	12.1%	45.6%	33%	100%

Note Rating scale: 1. strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Undecided 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree

Source: Primary data (2012)

In all the schools visited, a significant proportion of the children (45.6%) believed that Distance to and from School, less time use by girls in math, Masculine fallacy of Mathematics, Natural talents, Safety and security of girls, Household chores for girls Home and Community based factors, parents' negative attitude towards female participation in science and technology education, in particular and education in general, was responsible for the differences in performance in SMT.

In Mugema Primary School, lack of interest in SMT by the female children was the important factor. Teachers' attitude and the belief that gender determines who pursues SMT education were also mentioned by significant proportion of both male and female children during a focus group discussion for male and female respondents in all the Primary schools visited.

In both the location, the most frequently cited reasons given by household heads were financial constraints, cost of education materials, poor attitudes of government and parents to female participation in SMT, the negative attitude of girls and cost related factors in that order.

Mothers also presented a wider range of explanations. Financial constraint was the most emphasized factor in this local government area followed by attitudinal factors. They believed that women participation in SMT education was a waste. This perception stem from the erroneous belief that SMT courses take longer time to complete and girls, according to one participant in a focus group discussion have very limited time before marriage. "It is like taking a big risk to allow girl-child to stay in school for so long. This is even more dangerous now that matured girls roam about the street without job and could even miss their chance of getting husbands".

### **4.3 Research Findings on Parent's Explanation of Their Child's Performance in Mathematics**

Research has determined that parental attitude and support has a great deal of influence on girls' participation and level of success attained in SMT education. Parents and community attitudes are mainly influenced by traditional beliefs regarding the ideal roles of women and girls in society. Traditionally, the only roles available to women were those of wives and mothers. Women were thus seen as nurturers and mainly as providing support for men who worked to provide for the family. Being physically weaker, women were therefore also perceived as being less capable and requiring the protection and guidance of men. These attitudes have prevailed even in current times when socio-economic changes have resulted in changes to roles women are now expected to undertake. Socio-economic changes have made education necessary, not just for the purposes of providing income earning opportunities, but also for the potential to contribute to the improvement in the standards of living of individuals, families and communities. These traditional beliefs have been found to foster negative attitudes which limit family and community support for girls' education. Identification and examination of these attitudes is necessary before any decisions can be made on what should and can be done to bring about change. However, it is an indisputable fact that without parents and community support, any efforts to improve girls' participation in education and SMT education in particular will be greatly hampered.

The researcher started his exploration of the data by examining the parents' assessments of their child's competence in mathematics and to see whether differences exist in regard to the child's gender. The parents' gender was included in the analyses because Yee and Eccles (1988) and others have found some differences between mothers' and fathers' perceptions of their child's ability (see table 4.3.1)



**Table 4.3.1: Parent’s Explanation of Their Child’s Performance in Mathematics**

Reasons	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Mathematical talent	2	3	8	20	7	40
	5%	7.5%	20%	50%	17.5%	100%
Child practiced a lot	10	7	1	19	3	40
	25%	17.5%	2.5%	47.5%	7.5%	100%
Easy task	13	6	5	15	1	40
	32.5%	3%	12.5%	37.5%	2.5%	100%
Lacks mathematical talent	18	8	2	7	5	40
	45%	20%	5%	17.5%	12.5%	100%
Had not practiced enough	3	5	10	15	7	40
	7.5%	12.5%	25%	37.5%	17.5%	100%
Difficult task/test	2	5	3	18	12	40
	5%	12.5%	7.5%	45%	30%	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>240</b>
	20%	14.3%	12.2%	39.4%	14.7%	100%

Note Rating scale: 1. Strongly disagree 2.Disagree 3.Undecided 4.Agree 5.Strongly agree

Source: Primary data (2012)

#### **4.3.1 Parents' Assessments of their Child's Competence in Mathematics**

The child's gender had a significant main effect on the parents' assessment of their child's competence in mathematics, The parents' gender did not relate significantly to the parental assessment of the child's competence, nor did the interaction between the parents' and child's gender.

The distributions of parental attributions of the child's competence in mathematics was quite skewed toward the positive end of the scale, as only about 12.5% of the parents rated their child's proficiency in mathematics below average.

The parents (50%, table 4.3.2) regarded their child's mathematical talent as the prime cause of his/her success in mathematics, whereas the impact of task difficulty and the child's lack of talent were seen as less important (see table 4.3.2). Task difficulty and insufficient effort by the child were rated as the most notable causes of the child's failure in mathematics, whereas his/her lack of talent was the least preferred explanation for failure.

In interviews conducted with the parents, the parents of boys rated their child's mathematical talent as a more important reason for his mathematical success than did the parents of girls. In contrast, the parents of girls rated their child's effort as a more important reason for her mathematical success than did the parents of boys.

The parents considered talent a more important reason for the mathematical success of the highly competent child than that of the less competent child, and task easiness was regarded as a more important reason for the mathematical success of the highly competent child than for the less competent one. The latter effect was further manifested by a significant interaction with the child's gender which suggests that the effect only concerned the boys. The parents of a highly competent child appraised the lack of mathematical talent as a less important reason for mathematical failure than did the parents of a less competent child.

#### 4.4 Research Findings on the Relationship between Mathematics, Science and Technology

Teachers, during interviews, indicated that one of the reasons for girls' poor participation in SMT subjects was the lack of parental interest, support and involvement in their daughters' academic work. Teachers felt that if parents were more involved in their daughters' school work, and in particular in SMT subjects, in which most girls do not perform well, then girls might be motivated to work harder in those subjects.

Teachers attributed this lack of involvement to a number of factors. One was that most parents have the attitude that academic work is the preserve of the school and in particular the teacher and were therefore reluctant or unwilling to become involved. Another reason was that many parents often have little time to spare from their daily schedules to devote to helping or following up on their children's school work. Other parents, on the other hand, have little or no education themselves and therefore do not have the knowledge or skills required to help or monitor their children's academic work. Teachers complained that there was lacking in many communities a 'culture' of parental monitoring of children's school work. They felt that this type of culture and practice should be established and nurtured. The table below explains the detailed relationship between mathematics and SMT.

**Table 4.4.1: The Relationship between Mathematics, Science and Technology**

Subject Identification number	Mathematics(x)	Science and Technology(y)
1 Individual perception	38	40
2 Intelligence	29	33
3 Teaching approaches	38	28
4 Question approach	33	40
5 Backgrounds	43	46
6 Practical work	39	50
7 Relevant syllabus	45	43
8 Encouragements	50	50

9	Give opportunities	48	45
10	Train teachers	45	47
11	Show usefulness of subject	50	48
Total N = 11		458	470

Source: Primary data (2012)

Computation of Correlation analysis between the two variables x and y using Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r) to find the strength of the relationship between Mathematics and Science and Technology that have been measured in interval scales showed that the correlation is 0.73 which is a high correlation(David J., & Ronald S.,1987).

## CHAPTER FIVE

### DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 5.1 DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH FINDINGS

During group discussions and interviews with students and teachers, low enrollment and high dropout rates for girls at all levels of education were stated as some of the main reasons for the relatively low numbers of girls participating in SMT subjects. It was the opinion of many of those who participated in the study that one of the main reasons for this low enrollment and high dropout is the negative attitude that many parents have towards the education of girls. This negative attitude was attributed to traditional socio-cultural beliefs regarding gender roles and abilities. In African tradition and culture, women were expected to exclusively assume the roles of mothers and wives. Women were seen as nurturing beings and as such were expected to be the home makers and take care of the children. They were also expected to be obedient and subservient to the men. Women were seen as less capable, physically, mentally and in all areas outside their accepted roles, than men. As a result women were seen as requiring protection, guidance, supervision and leadership from men. It was thus accepted that men would fill decision making leadership capacities in society, while women played a mainly supportive/nurturing role. With time, socio-economic changes have resulted in an expansion of the roles that women play, out of necessity and sometimes choice. However, the perception of women and their accepted roles and perceived capabilities have remained the same, i.e. traditional. Many people therefore have difficulty accepting that there is a need to equip women with the skills and knowledge necessary, through education, to enable them to take up their new roles and function effectively in the modern world.

This attitude directly and indirectly has a negative effect on girls' participation in education in general and SMT in particular in a number of ways.

The information gathered from this study, specifically from discussions and interviews with parents, students, and teachers, has underlined the fact that these traditional views of

women's ideal gender roles and the perceptions of their abilities has a negative effect on parents' attitudes towards educating girls. The following are some examples of negative attitudes that act as barriers to girls' enrollment and retention in school:

The perceived ideal roles and characteristics of women and girls influence how girls and boys are socialized in the home, community and school. Because girls and women in general are considered physically weaker and less capable than men, they are often overtly protected and supervised to keep them from what is considered threatening to their safety i.e. physical, sexual, mental and emotional safety.

Some parents were reported to be reluctant to send their daughters to school because of the belief that education and school could be a corrupting influence. In some communities, there was the view that in co-educational schools, as most primary schools are, girls' morals would be corrupted because of the amount of time they would spend with boys. There was also fear for the physical and sexual safety of girls in school due to cases of physical and sexual harassment and abuse from peers and teachers in the school. Where schools are situated long distances away, parents also worried about their daughters' safety while traveling to and from school. This view was also the reason why many parents were reported to be reluctant to allow their daughters to attend extra-tuition after school as in most cases the teachers involved are men and as these sessions are usually held in the evening, parents are also reluctant to let their daughters travel late.

Perceived gender roles and characteristics influence the way children are expected to behave, the kind of work they do and even the way they play. Girls are, for example, rarely the ones sent to the shops to do shopping, neither are they allowed to play outside the home for long periods of time as boys often do. This denies girls the opportunity to explore and experiment with diverse activities and situations outside the home which could be useful to them within the SMT curriculum: e.g. boys when they go shopping get to practically use the knowledge and skills acquired in mathematics, they get to see various related activities first hand, e.g. playing with various tools, constructing things etc. Being outside the home also allows them to develop their socializing skills to a better degree than girls, and they are therefore more at ease outside the home environment.

Boys therefore develop the confidence to work with tools and to have an advantage in the use of exploratory and participatory methods advocated for in teaching SMT subjects.

It was pointed out that in some cultures, after a certain age, girls are not expected to look men directly in the eye and are expected to appear humble and respectful before their elders. This attitude and the subsequent socialization of girls, has a number of effects. One is that it makes it difficult for girls to fully benefit from the participatory, discovery methods that are recommended because they will be reluctant to ask questions, participate fully in discussions or work in groups with members of the opposite sex. This has a negative effect on their performance in SMT subjects. Another issue is that because girls are expected to be obedient and socialized to feel that boys and men are in some ways their superiors, many are vulnerable to physical and sexual harassment and abuse and lack the confidence, skills and knowledge of such situations. This exposes them to the risk of pregnancy and STD's and the resulting consequences, including school drop out. This harassment has also been proved through research to have a negative effect on girls' attitudes towards school and their ability to focus on and perform well in their academic activities.

According to many of the parents in the study, it is expected that girls will in adulthood only take on the roles of wives and mothers. Many parents and community members believe that a formal education is not necessary in order for girls to prepare for these expected roles as they can be learnt from their mothers and others in the community. As a result many parents do not enroll their daughters in school or withdraw them before completion. This denies these girls an opportunity for formal education in general and participation in SMT subjects in particular.

Many parents and community members also have the attitude that educating girls is a waste of time and money, because they will eventually be married off and their education would therefore only benefit their husbands and the families they marry into. Money spent on the girl's education would thus be considered lost to the girls' family.

Since there was also the expectation that boys will become the "breadwinners" of their future families, many parents and community members felt that boys should for this reason be provided with the every advantage to help them fulfill this role, this includes educating them as far as possible. Girls, on the other hand, it is expected, will have husbands who will provide for them and an education is therefore not essential for them.

Some statements made by parents during group discussions clearly point to the negative attitudes that many parents and community members in general have towards educating girls. For example, the following statements were all made by parents who participated in group discussions: *"As a mother, I don't have any difficulty even though I did not go to school."*

Many parents also expressed the belief that boys would take care of them in their old age, thus providing them with a sense of security about their future while girls once married would be expected to take care of those in the families they married into. Thus in order to ensure that their sons will be able to carry out this responsibility, parents feel that they should provide their sons with education so that they can in turn get employment.

Girls were also considered a risk to educate because they were likely to get pregnant or married and drop out of school and any money spent on their education would therefore be wasted. For this reason some parents expressed the opinion that given a choice, they would prefer to educate boys. As one parent put it; *"It is better to educate a boy because after all, most girls are very foolish, they get themselves pregnant and drop out of school. Why should I waste my money?"*

Teachers, during interviews, indicated that one of the reasons for girls' poor participation in SMT subjects was the lack of parental interest, support and involvement in their daughters' academic work. Teachers felt that if parents were more involved in their daughters' school work, and in particular in SMT subjects, in which most girls do not perform well, then girls might be motivated to work harder in those subjects.

Teachers attributed this lack of involvement to a number of factors. One was that most parents have the attitude that academic work is the preserve of the school and in



particular the teacher and were therefore reluctant or unwilling to become involved. Another reason was that many parents often have little time to spare from their daily schedules to devote to helping or following up on their children's school work. Other parents, on the other hand, have little or no education themselves and therefore do not have the knowledge or skills required to help or monitor their children's academic work. Teachers complained that there was lacking in many communities a 'culture' of parental monitoring of children's school work. They felt that this type of culture and practice should be established and nurtured.

Girls are expected to take up the roles of wives and mothers in adulthood and their socialization at home, in the community and school is geared towards providing them with experiences that will prepare them to carry out these roles effectively. These expectations determine the division of labor within the household, with girls being assigned the home making household chores like food preparation, cooking, cleaning, fetching firewood and water, washing clothes and, caring for younger siblings. In addition to this in some areas girls are also expected to participate in farming activities. In This was seen to affect girls' education in a number of ways (i) The number of hours spent performing house hold chores and other tasks means that girls have little time and energy left to devote to their academic work (ii) Girls also had an added disadvantage in that while the types of chores that boys do, allow them time and opportunity to study, the chores that girls do are difficult to combine with study, i.e. preparing food, washing clothes, etc. and (iii) Girls are often late for school in the morning as a result of having to complete their household chores. Apart from the punishment incurred for this lateness, there is also the added disadvantage that Science and Mathematics are often taught in the morning, because it is at this time that students are thought to have the most energy and are thought to be able to concentrate best. Girls who are chronically late thus tend to miss these morning lessons. Since Science, and especially Mathematics, are hierarchical subjects in that concepts are learnt in a sequential manner, with the one concept building on the knowledge of those learnt previously, missing lessons in these subjects makes it difficult for girls to comprehend many topics or to catch up. Because the teacher to

student ratio is high, it is sometimes very difficult for teachers to give such students the individual attention they require during class time to help them catch up.

## **5.2 CONCLUSION**

Despite being aware of the lack of adequate resources and facilities in some of their schools, parents and pupils, during focus group discussions and interviews with the project personnel, strongly condemned the methods teachers use to teach mathematics and science subjects. They saw this as the major cause for the poor participation and performance of girls in SMT. Teachers were blamed for their unimaginative teaching methods and for not using practical approaches for teaching SMT and for not relating the approaches to life experiences and the environment of the student. The teachers, on the other hand, being aware that adequate resources and facilities are crucial for a qualified teacher to engage students in an exciting, captivating and enriching mathematics or science lesson, strongly recommended that the governments should make or enforce policies on the minimum physical facilities and teaching resources in all schools at each level.

The researcher, however, noted that although there appeared to be great goodwill from all who participated in the study towards finding solutions to the problems of facilities and resources facing most of the schools, the solutions they suggested were almost all pointing at the government or some NGO, who it was hoped would come to their aid. A change of attitude among teachers and head teachers was considered crucial for them to begin to seek their own solutions to some of these problems.

## **5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Expose students to and discuss the neuroscience research that shows that brains grow new synaptic connections when new material is learned and practiced, thus making the brain more complex and “smarter”—that working hard to learn new knowledge leads to improved intelligence. Sports analogies can buttress this learning: practicing academic skills, like solving math problems, improves performance much like practicing free throws improves basketball performance or practicing serves helps one’s tennis game

- Highlight the importance of effort for succeeding at difficult tasks. By attributing success to effort rather than to global intelligence, expectations for future success are supported. Praising general intelligence implies that natural intellectual gifts determine success (and failure) rather than effort; this can be a debilitating mindset for students when confronted with failure on a difficult task.
- Keep a balance between learning on the one hand and performance on the other. Grades matter, but students who focus single-mindedly on their grades may come to care so much about performance that they sacrifice learning opportunities.
- Assign biographical readings about women scientists, mathematicians, and engineers.
- Call attention to current events highlighting the achievements of women in math or science.

## REFERENCES

- Adelek, C. (1991). *Women at thirty something. In paradoxes of attainment*, (pp. 14-25). Washington, DC: Office of Educ. Res. and Improv, U.S. Dept. Educ
- Alele-Williams G. (1988). *In: Education and Status of Nigerian Women in F.A.* Ogunseye et al., (Eds.) *Nigeria Women and Development*, (pp. 177-179). Ibadan: Ibadan University Press.
- Andre, T., Whigham, M., Hendrickson, A., & Chambers, S. (1999). *Competence beliefs, positive affect, and gender stereotypes of elementary students and their parents about science versus other school subjects.* *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36, 719-747.
- Alao, K. A. and Adeleke, M. A. (2000). *A Study of the Preference and Factors Influencing Phobia for Mathematics Among Nigeria Secondary School Students.* In *ife Journal of Psychology*, 2 (1), 9-18.
- Aronson, J., and Good, C. (2002). *The development and consequences of stereotype vulnerability in adolescents.* In F. Pajares and T. Urdan (Eds.) *Academic motivation of adolescents* (pp.299-330). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Armstrong, J. M. (1981). *Achievement and Participating of Women in Mathematics: Result of Tow National Surveys.* In *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 12 (5), 356-372.
- Brandy, K. E. and Einsten, R. M. (1996). *Gender Bias in the Classroom. A Critical Review of the Literature and Implication for Future Research.* *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 29(1), 9-19.
- Chimombo, J.P. & Chonzi, R. (2000). *School dropout and teenage pregnancy: Its causes and magnitude (Final Report).* Zomba: Centre for Educational Research and Training.
- David J., and Ronald S. (Eds) (1987). *Marketing research.* New Delhi: Printice hall of India private limited.
- Edwards, M. (2000). *Building Conditions, Parental Involvement, and Student Achievement in the DC Public School System.* Master's Thesis, Georgetown University. May 1991. ED 338 743.
- Eccles-Parsons, J., Adler, T., Futterman, R., Goff, S., Kaczala, C., Meece, J., et al. (1982). *Socialization of achievement attitudes and beliefs: Parental influences.* *Child Development*, 53, 310-321.
- FEMSA, (1996). Report No.9: *Status of Girls' Participation and Performance in SMT Subjects in Primary Schools*, FAWE, Kenya.
- FEMSA, (1996). Report No.10: *Status of Girls' Participation and Performance in SMT Subjects in Secondary Schools*, FAWE, Kenya.
- Female Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology in Africa Swaziland. (1999). *Report on the school study project* (pp. 49, 55, & 59). Manzini :FEMSA Swaziland.

- Hyde, K. (2003) '*Expanding Educational Opportunities at Primary Level: Are Community Schools the Answer?*' Mimeo
- Kadiri, S. A. (2004). *The Effectiveness of the Personalized System of Instruction in Mathematics among Secondary School Students in Osun State*. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.
- Maluwa-Banda, D. & Kholowa, F. (2002). *The Status of the education of the girl child in*
- Maluwa-Banda, D. & Lunguzi, J. (2002). *Baseline Survey Report on Meeting Development and Participation Rights of Adolescent Girls in Malawi*. (A Final Report for UNFPA, UNICEF, Department of Youth and National Youth Council of Malawi).
- National Science Foundation. (2006d). *Women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in science and engineering*. Retrieved June 25, 2008, from <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/wmpd/>.
- Rose, P. (2002) 'Cost-sharing in Malawian primary schooling: From the Washington to the post-Washington consensus' Unpublished DPhil thesis: University of Sussex
- Stiglitz, J. (1997) '*An agenda for development for the Twenty-First Century*', Ninth Annual Bank Conference on Development Economics, Washington DC: World Bank
- Thomson, C.L. & Ashton-Lilo, J. (1983). *A developmental environment for child care programs*. In E.M. Goetz & K. E. Allen (eds.) *Early childhood education: Special environmental policy and legal considerations* (pp. 93-125). Rockville, MD: Aspen-Systems, Inc.
- US Government. <http://www.ed.gov/nclb/landing.jhtml>. Retrieved May 2008.
- US Government. <http://www.ed.gov/teachers/nclbguide/nclb>. Retrieved May 2008.
- Weiss, J. and HEERY International. (2000). *Sustainable Schools*. ISSUETRAK, A CEFPI Brief on Educational Facility Issues.
- Weiner, B. (1986). *An attributional theory of motivation and emotion*. New York: Springer.
- .Yee, D., & Eccles, J. (1988). Parent perceptions and attributions for children's math achievement. *Sex Roles*, 19, 317-333.

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PARENTS

Please tick appropriate option in the box provided

1. Gender
  - male
  - female
2. Occupation
  - unemployed
  - employed
3. Parish (ward) of the school
  - Busaana
  - Nabuganyi
  - Others
4. Location of the school where your child goes to.
  - rural
  - peri-urban
  - urban
5. Type of the school where your child goes to.
  - government
  - NGO
  - private
  - Moslem foundation
  - church foundation
- ii.
  - mixed (coeducational)
  - boys
  - girls

Please for the following questions, use the rating scale given below and tick in the box whose rating scale you think is objective to you about the performance of your child.

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly agree
1	2	3	4	5

Please recall a test or a task in mathematics in which your child succeeded better than normally. Please assess the impact of the subsequent factors in the positive outcome

Factor 1: The child has a mathematical talent.

- strongly disagree
- disagree
- undecided
- agree
- strongly agree

Factor 2: The child had practiced a lot of mathematics task

- strongly disagree
- disagree
- undecided
- agree
- strongly agree

Factor 3: The task/test was an easy one.

- strongly disagree
- disagree
- undecided
- agree
- strongly agree

How about a test/task in mathematics in which your child had less success than was normally the case? Please assess the impact of the subsequent factors in the negative outcome.

Factor 1: The child lacks mathematical talent.

- strongly disagree
- disagree

- undecided
- agree
- strongly agree

Factor 2: The child had not practiced enough.

- strongly disagree
- disagree
- undecided
- agree
- strongly agree

Factor 3: The task/test was a difficult one.

- strongly disagree
- disagree
- undecided
- agree
- strongly agree

What are your general comments on your child success or failure in mathematics?

- (i) -----  
-----  
-----
- (ii) -----  
-----  
-----



**APPENDIX A (II)**  
**QUESTIONNAIRE FOR STUDENTS**

1. School----- Class-----
2. Sex:  
 Female  
 Male (tick one)
3. What work does your mother do? -----
4. What work does your father do? -----
5. Indicate what level of education your mother and father has by putting a tick in the right place.

Level of Education	mother	father
No Schooling	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Primary School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Secondary School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Beyond Secondary School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Don't know	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. *Below are several items that provide information on your assessment of the gender differential in mathematics performance. Tick in the appropriate box against the number that best reflects how you rate it. The numbers range from 1 to 5.*

1. Strongly disagree    2. Disagree    3. Undecided    4. Agree    5. Strongly agree

No	Research Aspect Under Study	1	2	3	4	5
----	-----------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

**A. REASONS FOR GENDER DIFFERENTIAL IN MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE**

A <sub>1</sub>	Distance to and from school					
A <sub>2</sub>	Time use by girls in math is less					
A <sub>3</sub>	Masculine fallacy of mathematics					

A <sub>4</sub>	Natural talents					
A <sub>5</sub>	Safety and security of girls					
A <sub>6</sub>	House hold chores for girls					
A <sub>7</sub>	Home and community based factors e.g. traditional belief of a woman as a wife and mother					

**B.RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

- |   | YES | NO  |
|---|-----|-----|
| 7. Do you like Mathematics?   | ( ) | ( ) |
| 8. Do you like Science?   | ( ) | ( ) |
| 9. Do you get private tuition in Mathematics?   | ( ) | ( ) |
| 10. Do you get private tuition in Science?  | ( ) | ( ) |
| 11. <i>Read the following statements about Mathematics. Put a tick in the box under YES if you think the statement is true and under NO if you think the statement is not true.</i> |     |     |

- |  | YES | NO  |
|--|-----|-----|
| i. Mathematics is more important for girls than for boys.  | ( ) | ( ) |
| ii. Mathematics is easy to learn.                          | ( ) | ( ) |
| iii. Mathematics is interesting.                           | ( ) | ( ) |
| iv. Mathematics is important for farmers.                  | ( ) | ( ) |
| v. Mathematics is important for housewives.                | ( ) | ( ) |
| vi. Mathematics is important for doctors.                  | ( ) | ( ) |
| vii. You must be intelligent to learn Mathematics.         | ( ) | ( ) |
| viii. Boys are naturally better at Mathematics than girls. | ( ) | ( ) |
| ix. Mathematics is useful in life outside school.          | ( ) | ( ) |
| ix. You need to be good in Mathematics to study Science.   | ( ) | ( ) |

12. Put a tick in the box opposite the three activities you DO NOT LIKE in Science.

Learning scientific names	( )	( )
Drawing diagrams	( )	( )
Handling living things	( )	( )
Doing experiments	( )	( )
Interpreting results of experiments and observations	( )	( )
Measuring accurately	( )	( )
Writing reports after experiments and observations	( )	( )
Other (Say what these are)		

13 Read the following statements about Science. Put a tick in the box under YES if you think the statement is true and under NO if you think the statement is not true.

	YES	NO
i. Science is more important for girls than for boys.	( )	( )
ii. Science is easy to learn.	( )	( )
iii. Science is interesting.	( )	( )
iv. Science is easier for boys than for girls.	( )	( )
v. Science is important for doing business.	( )	( )
vii. Science is important for housewives.	( )	( )
viii. You must be intelligent to learn Science.	( )	( )
ix. Boys are naturally better at Science than girls.	( )	( )
x. Science helps in solving problems outside school.	( )	( )
xi. Science is responsible for the destruction of the environment.	( )	( )

14. Who usually helps you with your homework in Mathematics and Science?

(tick the appropriate)

	MATHEMATICS	SCIENCE
i. Class teacher	( )	( )
ii. Tuition teacher	( )	( )
iii. Mother	( )	( )

- |                       |     |     |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|
| iv. Father            | ( ) | ( ) |
| v. Sister             | ( ) | ( ) |
| vi. Brother           | ( ) | ( ) |
| vii. Other relative   | ( ) | ( ) |
| viii. Friend/Age mate | ( ) | ( ) |
| ix Nobody             | ( ) | ( ) |

15 *If you have a difficulty in understanding what is being taught during a Mathematics or Science class, what do you do? Tick any THREE boxes.*

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| i. Ask the teacher a question during class | ( ) |
| ii. Read your textbook or notes            | ( ) |
| iii. Ask a classmate                       | ( ) |
| iv. Ask another friend                     | ( ) |
| v. Ask the teacher after class             | ( ) |
| vi. Keep quiet                             | ( ) |

**APPENDIX A (III)**  
**QUESTIONNAIRE FOR TEACHERS**

1. Name of school-----

2. Gender:

( ) Female

( ) Male (Circle one)

3. Number of years teaching experience ( )

4. Your academic qualifications: (tick the appropriate number)

1. Primary ( )

ii. Secondary school ( )

iii. Higher secondary school ( )

iv. Other (Specify) ( )

5. Your teaching qualification-----

Name of institution where you obtained this qualification-----

-----  
-----

6. What classes do you teach? -----

7. What subjects do you teach? -----

8. Number of periods taught per week:-----

-----  
-----

9. In what language do you teach Science and Mathematics?-----

10. *Girls generally score lower marks in Mathematics in National Examinations than boys. By ticking the appropriate boxes indicate the FIVE most important reasons for this.*

Girls fear Mathematics ( )

Girls do not think Mathematics is important for their future ( )

Because of household duties girls do not have enough time for homework ( )

Girls are less determined than boys in solving difficult problems ( )

Girls are less intelligent than boys ( )

- Girls do not ask questions when they do not understand ( )
- Girls cannot solve difficult problems on their own ( )
- Girls are brought up to believe that Mathematics is for boys ( )
- Girls do not pay attention during Mathematics lessons ( )
- The teaching approaches used do not help girls to understand Mathematics ( )

Other (Specify) -----  
 -----  
 -----  
 -----

11. *Girls generally score lower marks in Science in National Examinations than boys. By ticking the appropriate boxes indicate the FIVE most important reasons for this.*

- Girls fear Science ( )
- Girls do not think Science is important for their future ( )
- Because of household duties girls do not have enough time for homework ( )
- Girls don't like doing experiments ( )
- Girls don't like writing reports after experiments and observations ( )
- Girls do not ask questions when they do not understand ( )
- Girls are not very good at practical work ( )
- Girls are brought up to believe that Science is for boys ( )
- Girls do not like setting up experiments ( )
- The teaching approaches used do not help girls to understand Science ( )

Other (Specify) -----  
 -----  
 -----

12. *Below are 10 statements on how the performance of girls in Science could be improved. Rank the statements in order of importance by writing the numbers 1 to 10 in the boxes. For example, write 1 for the statement you think is the MOST IMPORTANT and 10 for the LEAST IMPORTANT.*

- Make the content of the Science syllabus more relevant to the needs of girls ( )
- Make Science teaching related to the everyday experiences of girls (cooking, farming, etc) ( )
- Encourage girls to do more experiments ( )
- Give girls more exercises, homework and tests ( )
- Group girls with boys when teaching Science ( )
- Give girls opportunities to take part in Science Fairs, Exhibitions and Competitions ( )
- Give girls more information on how Science will be useful in their life after school ( )
- Use more teaching aids when teaching Science ( )
- Make teachers appreciate the differences that girls and boys bring to the learning of Science ( )
- Train teachers to cater for the needs of girls in the learning of Science ( )